

# On Generalized Derivations in Semiprime Rings

M. N. Daif

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science  
Al-Azhar University, Nasr City (11884), Cairo, Egypt  
nagydaif@yahoo.com

M. S. Tammam El-Sayiad

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science  
Beni Suef University, Beni Suef (62111), Egypt  
m\_s\_tammam@yahoo.com

## Abstract

The purpose of this note is to prove the following result. Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring of characteristic not 2 and  $G: R \rightarrow R$  be an additive mapping such that  $G(x^2) = G(x)x + xD(x)$  holds for all  $x \in R$  and some derivations  $D$  of  $R$ . Then  $G$  is a Jordan generalized derivation.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 16W10, 16W25, 16W20

**Keywords:** ring, prime ring, semiprime ring, centralizer, Jordan centralizer, derivation, Jordan derivation, generalized derivation, Jordan generalized derivation

## 1 Introduction

This note is motivated by the work of Zalar [6]. Throughout,  $R$  will represent an associative ring with center  $Z(R)$ . A ring  $R$  is  $n$ -torsion free, if  $nx = 0$ ,  $x \in R$  implies  $x = 0$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer. Recall that  $R$  is prime if  $aRb = (0)$  implies  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ , and semiprime if  $aRa = (0)$  implies  $a = 0$ . An additive mapping  $T: R \rightarrow R$  is called a left (right) centralizer in case  $T(xy) = T(x)y$  ( $T(xy) = xT(y)$ ) holds for all  $x, y \in R$  and is called a Jordan left (right) centralizer in case  $T(x^2) = T(x)x$  ( $T(x^2) = xT(x)$ ) holds for all  $x \in R$ . A result of Zalar [6] asserts that any Jordan centralizer on a semiprime ring of characteristic not 2 is a centralizer. An additive mapping  $D: R \rightarrow R$  is called a derivation if  $D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y)$  holds for all pairs  $x, y \in R$ .

and is called a Jordan derivation in case  $D(x^2) = D(x)x + xD(x)$  holds for all  $x \in R$ . A derivation  $D$  is inner if there exists  $a \in R$  such that  $D(x) = ax - xa$  holds for all  $x \in R$ . Every derivation is a Jordan derivation. The converse is in general not true. A classical result of Herstein [4] asserts that any Jordan derivation on 2-torsion free prime ring is a derivation. Cusack [2] generalized Herstein's theorem to 2-torsion free semiprime ring.

In [3], Hvala has defined the notion of a generalized derivation as follows: An additive mapping  $G : R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation  $D : R \rightarrow R$  such that  $G(xy) = G(x)y + xD(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Also, he called the maps of the form  $x \rightarrow ax + xb$  where  $a, b$  are fixed elements in  $R$  by the inner generalized derivations. Ashraf and Nadeem-Ur-Rehman, in [5], have defined the concept of a Jordan generalized derivation as follows: An additive mapping  $G : R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a Jordan generalized derivation if there exists a derivation  $D : R \rightarrow R$  such that  $G(x^2) = G(x)x + xD(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ . Hence the concept of a generalized derivation covers both the concepts of a derivation and a left centralizers and the concept of a Jordan generalized derivation covers both the concepts of a Jordan derivation and a left Jordan centralizers. In [1, Remark 1] Brešar proved that for a semiprime ring  $R$ , if  $G$  is a function from  $R$  to  $R$  and  $D : R \rightarrow R$  is an additive mapping such that  $G(xy) = G(x)y + xD(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then  $D$  is uniquely determined by  $G$  and moreover  $G$  must be a derivation. Ashraf and Nadeem-Ur-Rehman, in [5], proved the following result: Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free ring such that  $R$  has a commutator which is not a zero divisor, then every Jordan generalized derivation on  $R$  is a generalized derivation.

In this note, using Zalar's method, we study the same result of Ashraf and Nadeem-Ur-Rehman but for a semiprime ring, and without the condition of zero divisor, i.e., if  $R$  is a semiprime ring of characteristic not 2 and  $G$  is an additive mapping which satisfies

$$G(x^2) = G(x)x + xD(x)$$

holds for all  $x \in R$  and some derivation  $D$  of  $R$ , then  $G$  is a Jordan generalized derivation. This result will be a generalization of the result of Zalar [6]. In

order to prove our result we will need the following lemmas which are due to Zalar.

**Lemma 1.1 ([6] Lemma 1.1).** *Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring. If  $a, b \in R$  are such that  $axb = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , then  $ab = ba = 0$ .*

**Lemma 1.2 ([6] Lemma 1.2).** *Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $\theta, \phi : R \times R \rightarrow R$  biadditive mappings. If  $\theta(x, y)w\phi(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x, y, w \in R$ , then  $\theta(x, y)w\phi(u, v) = 0$  for all  $x, y, u, v, w \in R$ .*

**Lemma 1.3 ([6] Lemma 1.3).** *Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $a \in R$  be some fixed element. If  $a[x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then there exists an ideal  $U$  of  $R$  such that  $a \in U \subset Z(R)$  holds.*

## 2 The Main Result

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring of characteristic not 2 and  $G: R \rightarrow R$  be an additive mapping satisfying the relation*

$$G(x^2) = G(x)x + xD(x), \tag{1}$$

for all  $x \in R$  and some derivation  $D$  of  $R$ . Then  $G$  is a Jordan generalized derivation.

**Proof.** Replacing  $x$  by  $x + y$  in (1) we get

$$G(xy + yx) = G(x)y + G(y)x + xD(y) + yD(x), \quad x, y \in R. \tag{2}$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $xy + yx$  in (2) and using (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G(x^2y + yx^2) + 2G(xyx) &= G(x)xy + G(x)yx + G(x)yx + G(y)x^2 + xD(y)x \\ &\quad + yD(x)x + xD(xy + yx) + (xy + yx)D(x), \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

On the other hand, replacing  $x$  by  $x^2$  in (2) and adding  $2G(xyx)$  to both sides we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(x^2y + yx^2) + 2G(xyx) &= G(x)xy + xD(x)y + G(y)x^2 + x^2D(y) + yxD(x) \\ &\quad + yD(x)x + 2G(xyx), \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Comparing (3) and (4) we obtain

$$G(xyx) = G(x)yx + xD(yx), \quad x, y \in R. \tag{5}$$

Putting  $x = x + z$  in (5), we get

$$G(xyz + zyx) = G(x)yz + G(z)yx + xD(yz) + zD(yx), \quad x, y, z \in R. \tag{6}$$

Let  $F = G(xzyx + yxzy)$ , we shall compute it in two different ways. Using (5) we have

$$F = G(x)yzyx + G(y)xzxy + xD(yzyx) + yD(xzxy), \quad x, y, z \in R. \tag{7}$$

Using (6) we have

$$F = G(xy)zyx + G(yx)zxy + xyD(zyx) + yxD(zxy), \quad x, y, z \in R. \quad (8)$$

Comparing (7) and (8) we get

$$\theta(x, y)zyx + \theta(y, x)zxy = 0, \quad x, y, z \in R, \quad (9)$$

where  $\theta(x, y)$  stands for  $G(xy) - G(x)y - xD(y)$ . In the concept of the definition of  $\theta$ , equation (2) can be rewritten in the form  $\theta(x, y) = -\theta(y, x)$ . Using this notation in equation (9) we get

$$\theta(x, y)z[x, y] = 0, \quad x, y, z \in R. \quad (10)$$

Using Lemma 1.2 we get

$$\theta(x, y)z[u, v] = 0, \quad x, y, z, u, v \in R. \quad (11)$$

Using Lemma 1.1 we obtain

$$\theta(x, y)[u, v] = 0, \quad x, y, u, v \in R. \quad (12)$$

Now fix  $x, y \in R$  and write  $\theta$  instead of  $\theta(x, y)$  to simplify further writing. Using Lemma 1.3 we get the existence of an ideal  $U$  such that  $\theta \in U \subset Z(R)$  holds. In particular,  $b\theta, \theta b \in Z(R)$  for all  $b \in R$ . This gives us

$$x.\theta^2y = \theta^2y.x = y\theta^2.x = y.\theta^2x.$$

This gives us  $4G(x.\theta^2y) = 4G(y.\theta^2x)$ . Now we will compute each side of this equality by using (2) and the above notation.

$$\begin{aligned} 4G(x.\theta^2y) &= 2G(x\theta^2y + \theta^2yx) = \\ &= 2G(x)\theta^2y + 2xD(\theta^2y) + 2G(\theta^2y)x + 2\theta^2yD(x) = \\ &= 2G(x)\theta^2y + G(\theta^2y + y\theta^2)x + 2xD(\theta^2y) + 2\theta^2yD(x) = \\ &= 2G(x)\theta^2y + G(\theta)\theta yx + \theta D(\theta)yx + G(y)\theta^2x + \theta^2D(y)x + yD(\theta^2)x + \\ &\quad 2xD(\theta^2y) + 2\theta^2yD(x). \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$4G(x.\theta^2y) = 2G(x)\theta^2y + G(\theta)\theta yx + \theta D(\theta)yx + G(y)\theta^2x + \theta^2D(y)x + yD(\theta^2)x + 2xD(\theta^2y) + 2\theta^2yD(x), \quad x, y \in R. \quad (13)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
 4G(y.\theta^2x) &= 2G(y\theta^2x + \theta^2xy) = \\
 &= 2G(y)\theta^2x + 2yD(\theta^2x) + 2G(\theta^2x)y + 2\theta^2xD(y) = \\
 &= 2G(y)\theta^2x + G(\theta^2x + x\theta^2)y + 2yD(\theta^2x) + 2\theta^2xD(y) = \\
 &= 2G(y)\theta^2x + G(\theta)\theta xy + \theta D(\theta)xy + G(x)\theta^2y + \theta^2D(x)y + xD(\theta^2)y + \\
 &\quad 2yD(\theta^2x) + 2\theta^2xD(y).
 \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 4G(y.\theta^2x) &= 2G(y)\theta^2x + G(\theta)\theta xy + \theta D(\theta)xy + G(x)\theta^2y + \theta^2D(x)y \\
 &\quad + xD(\theta^2)y + 2yD(\theta^2x) + 2\theta^2xD(y), \quad x, y \in R. \tag{14}
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (13) and (14) and using the following notations

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta yx &= \theta y.x = x.\theta y = x\theta y = \theta xy, \\
 \theta D(\theta)yx &= D(\theta)\theta yx = D(\theta)\theta xy = \theta D(\theta)xy, \\
 x\theta D(\theta)y &= D(\theta)x\theta y = D(\theta)\theta xy = D(\theta)\theta yx = \theta yD(\theta)x = y\theta D(\theta)x,
 \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$G(x)\theta^2y + x\theta^2D(y) = G(y)\theta^2x + y\theta^2D(x)$$

which gives

$$\phi(x, y)\theta^2 = \phi(y, x)\theta^2, \tag{15}$$

where  $\phi(x, y)$  stands for  $G(x)y + xD(y)$ . On the other hand, we also have  $4G(xy\theta^2) = 4G(x\theta.y\theta)$ . We will compute each side of this equality by using (2) and the properties of  $\theta$ , so we get

$$4G(xy\theta^2) = 2G(xy\theta^2 + \theta^2xy) = 2G(xy)\theta^2 + 2xyD(\theta^2) + 2G(\theta^2)xy + 2\theta^2D(xy),$$

which gives

$$4G(xy\theta^2) = 2G(xy)\theta^2 + 2xyD(\theta^2) + 2G(\theta^2)xy + 2\theta^2D(xy), \quad x, y \in R. \tag{16}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
 4G(x\theta.y\theta) &= 2G(x\theta y\theta + y\theta x\theta) = \\
 &= 2G(\theta x)\theta y + 2\theta xD(\theta y) + 2G(\theta y)\theta x + 2\theta yD(\theta x) = \\
 &= G(x\theta + \theta x)\theta y + 2\theta xD(\theta y) + G(y\theta + \theta y)\theta x + 2\theta yD(\theta x) =
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= G(x)\theta^2y + G(\theta)\theta xy + xD(\theta)\theta y + \theta D(x)\theta y + 2\theta xD(\theta y) + G(y)\theta^2x + G(\theta)\theta yx + yD(\theta)\theta x + \theta D(y)\theta x + 2\theta yD(\theta x).$$

So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 4G(x\theta.y\theta) &= G(x)\theta^2y + G(\theta)\theta xy + xD(\theta)\theta y \\ &+ \theta D(x)\theta y + 2\theta xD(\theta y) + G(y)\theta^2x + G(\theta)\theta yx + yD(\theta)\theta x \\ &+ \theta D(y)\theta x + 2\theta yD(\theta x), \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Comparing (16) and (17), we obtain

$$2G(xy)\theta^2 = \phi(x, y)\theta^2 + \phi(y, x)\theta^2, \quad x, y \in R. \quad (18)$$

Using (15), finally we get  $G(xy)\theta^2 = \phi(x, y)\theta^2$ . But  $\theta(x, y) = G(xy) - \phi(x, y)$  and this means  $\theta^3 = 0$  so that

$$\theta^2 R \theta^2 = \theta^4 R = (0),$$

$$\theta R \theta = \theta^2 R = (0),$$

which implies  $\theta = 0$ , and the proof is complete.

It is clear that if we let the derivation  $D$  to be the zero derivation in the above theorem, we get the following result.

**Corollary 2.2** ([6] **Proposition 1.4**). *Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring of characteristic not 2 and  $T : R \rightarrow R$  an additive mapping which satisfies  $T(x^2) = T(x)x$  for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $T$  is a left centralizer.*

## References

- [1] M. Brešar, On the distance of the compositions of two derivations to generalized derivations, *Glasgow Math. J.* **33** (1991), 80-93.
- [2] J. Cusack, Jordan derivations on semiprime rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **53** (1975), 321-324.
- [3] B. Hvala, Generalized derivations in rings, *Comm. Alg.* **26**(4) (1998), 1147-1166.
- [4] I. N. Herstein, Jordan derivations of prime rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (1957), 1104-1119.
- [5] Mohammad Aahraf and Nadeem-Ul-Rehman, On Jordan generalized derivations in rings, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **42**(2000), 7-9.
- [6] B. Zalar, On centralizers of semiprime rings, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **32**, 4(1991), 609-614.

**Received: June 16, 2007**